Some Suggestions for ILRS Official Weekly Combined Solution

Zuheir Altamimi

April 19, 2004

Suggestions

- Include at least 3 AC independent solutions
- SLR origin (CoM) and Scale should be preserved:
 - Should be the weighted average of (all!) AC solutions
 - Large discrepancies btw AC's should be understood/ resolved
- TRF orientation: combine using either:
 - Free-network approach and then transform into ITRF2000 (3 rotation parameters)
 - Minimum constraint equation w.r.t. ITRF2000 (3 rotation parameters)
 - Transformation into ITRF2000 should be operated over a Reference Set of « best » stations

Comments on ASI combined solution Example: ASI.pos+eop.040320.snx

- Comparison to ITRF2000/IERS C04 useful but not necessary for the purpose of an official ILRS solution
- Orientation is arbitrary (?)
- Origin & Scale are not specified
- Comparison to a recent multi-technique combination (ITRF-type solution, aligned to ITRF2000):
 - Very good agreement : 1 cm WRMS
 - Reasonable geocenter offsets: less than 1 cm
 - Large scale bias (?) : ~ 3 ppb

Comments on DGFI combined solution Example: DGFI.pos+eop.040320.snx

- Some SINEX format problems
- Orientation: rotation parameters small but not specified (alignment to ITRF2000 ???)
- Origin & Scale are not specified
- Comparison to a recente multi-technique combination (ITRFtype solution, aligned to ITRF2000):
 - Very good agreement : 1 cm WRMS
 - Reasonable geocenter offsets: less than 1 cm
 - Large scale bias (?) : ~ 3 ppb
- Is still a test run as stated in the sinex file ?

Comments on NCL combined solution Example: <u>NCL.pos+eop.040320.snx</u>

- The solution is constrained to ITRF2000 over 4 stations (constraints are removable, but should be avoided for an official ILRS combined solution)
- Origin & Scale are not specified
- Comparison to a recente multi-technique combination (ITRFtype solution, aligned to ITRF2000):
 - Very good agreement : 1 cm WRMS
 - Geocenter offsets: larger than 1 cm (Z-component)
 - Large scale bias (?) : ~ 3 ppb