# ÖAW (İWF

# SPACE DEBRIS STUDY GROUP



<u>Michael Steindorfer</u>, Georg Kirchner, Franz Koidl, Peiyuan Wang, Sebastian Schneider 1) Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences

#### IWF.OEAW.AC.AT



# SDSG OVERVIEW

- Space debris laser ranging activities
  - General space debris laser ranging
  - Improvements / status: (daylight) space debris laser ranging
  - Simultaneous light curves // space debris laser ranging
  - Multistatic campaigns
- Ideas for a campaign within SDSG
- Daniel Kucharski:
  - Updates on tumbling former ILRS satellites
  - Attitude determination methods



# **GRAZ TRACKING SUMMARY**

- Optimum: currently at approx. slant range 2200 km, 10° elevation
- Space debris laser power slightly lower, currently ~12 Watt



Graz debris ranging (01.01.2020 - 31.12.2021)



# DAYLIGHT DETECTION TOOL

#### Software development -> Laview -> Python based tool

- Automatic target detection
- Time bias calculation based on orbit
- Multiple targets -> moving (stare & chase) or non moving (tracking)
- "FFP2-mask based testing facility" --> ZWO ASI camera
- (tiny holes shine some light onto sensor, small dots detected)







# DAYLIGHT SDLR

- Daylight space debris laser ranging:
  - Sessions: 5 test sessions since September (approx. 1 hour each)
  - Types: SL-3, SL-16, CZ-4C, CZ-2C, SL-14, ...
  - # optically visible targets during daylight: 35 (also at large sun elevations)
  - Successful daylight passes during last sessions: >10
  - Overall maximum sun elevation: 39°
  - Possible to pre-center targets in field of view
  - Significantly incresing success chances at lower sun elevations
- Outlook: New telescope system for daylight visualization
  - Larger diameter: 25 cm
  - Smaller focal length
  - Smaller sensor at equal field of view
  - Optimized FOV / pixel -> contrast



# SDSG / GRAZ UPDATES

- Bistatic measurements -> also allows testing of facilities before active SDLR
  - Recently: Potsdam, Zimmerwald, Stuttgart, Wettzell
  - Planned: Matera, Grasse, ...
  - Graz: "We will do a space debris session in a few hours. Feel free to listen."
  - Other stations -> contact us if you want to join
  - · Good way to test stations receive path
- Light curve measurements / simultaneous to SDLR
  - Large dataset -> used for attitude determination
  - Left: Envisat // Right: H2A-DEB, NORAD 38346





# SDSG CAMPAIGN

Space debris laser ranging campaign within SDSG (duration: e.g. 3 months)?

- Selection of <u>1 targ</u>et for tracking support
- Potential cooperative targets
  - Low flying rocket bodies with (multiple) retroreflectors
  - E.g. CZ-2C\_R/B #28480 (711-914 km), CZ-2C\_R/B #31114 (791-878 km)
  - Tumbling high flying satellites
  - Former tumbling ILRS targets Topex, Envisat, Jason
- Predictions or station specific schedules could be sent out by us if needed
- Targets with good orbit could work as multistatic reference targets
- Email to SDSG -> discussion about target selection -> timeframe of campaign



# ÖAW (İWF

## !!! THANK YOU !!!









## Satellite Laser Ranging

- SLR measures range between the ground system and the satellites.
- Routinely operated observational techniques at Graz SLR station are:
  - kHz satellite laser ranging; 2 kHz, 10 ps, 0.4 mJ/pulse
  - space debris laser ranging; 200 Hz, 5 ns, 140 mJ/pulse
  - hypertemporal photometry; kHz-MHz sampling rates
    all at the single-photon sensitivity level realized with the SPAD detectors.
- Graz kHz SLR system operates with a Compensated-SPAD that reacts to the first detected photon so that the energy of an incoming (retroreflected) pulse is not integrated internally.
- It is beneficial for the space object attitude characterization to operate laser ranging and photometry sub-systems simultaneously and apply data fusion techniques to the collected data for an improved accuracy of the attitude analysis.
- Currently, out of 44 operation SLR stations globally, 11 operate at  $\geq$  1 kHz repetition rates.



## Satellite attitude tensor and space environment

The satellite inertial attitude depends on the orientation and magnitude of the body spin vector  $\boldsymbol{S}$  about which the body spins in a counter-clockwise direction at an angular velocity  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ .

The relationship between an external (inertial) reference frame  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and the spacecraft body-centered and -fixed coordinate system can be defined by the attitude tensor A, which is a product of rotation matrices computed as:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{R}_2(-\boldsymbol{x}_P)\boldsymbol{R}_1(-\boldsymbol{y}_P)\boldsymbol{R}_3(\boldsymbol{\gamma})\boldsymbol{R}_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \boldsymbol{\delta}\right)\boldsymbol{R}_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)$$

 $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ : standard rotation matrices about the x, y and z-axis respectively.

The variables of the transformation depend on the spin vector properties and:

 $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$  – correspond to right ascension and declination of **S** in the case of Earth Centered Inertial reference frame

 $\gamma$  – body rotation angle about **S**, increases at the rate of  $\omega = \|\mathbf{S}\|$ 

 $x_P$ ,  $y_P$  – pole coordinates, relative position of **S** with respect to the satellite body axis.

The parameters of the attitude matrix are not constant and can evolve under the influence of the space environment, such as:

- Earth's gravity field (can be modeled with EGM96, geopotential model)
- Earth's magnetic field (IGRF11/TS05, int. and ext. magnetic field models)
- Solar radiation pressure (TSI and shadow function)
- Earth's reflectivity (albedo) and IR emissivity (CERES)
- Residual atmosphere (JB2008, atm. density model)
- Satellite surface thermal effects (sat. macromodel)
- Electrostatic effects, Van Allen radiation belts (AE-8, AP-8 charged particle models)





J. I. Andrés, Enhanced Modeling of LAGEOS Non-Gravitational Perturbations. PhD dissertation, 2007.

## Tumbling example: TOPEX/Poseidon



Kucharski D., et al., Photon Pressure Force on Space Debris TOPEX/Poseidon Measured by Satellite Laser Ranging, AGU ESS, doi:10.1002/2017EA000329, 2017

## Tumbling example: Jason-2









# Survey of ILRS Barometers 20-Jan-2022

Van S Husson vhusson@peraton.com ILRS NESC

ILRS NESC Meeting 20-Jan-2022



## **ILRS Barometric Sensors**

#### Peraton

			Total		Temperature
			Accuracy	Drift per	Dependence
Barometric Sensor Model	Count	Stations	(mbar)	year (mbar)	(mbar)
Vaisala PTB220	10	Russian (ROSCOSMOS)	0.15	0.10	0.10
Paroscientific MET4	7	NASA SLR	0.08	0.10	
Paroscientific Digiquartz 740-16B	3	Zimmerwald, Wettzell (7827 and 8834)	0.10	0.10	0.08
Vaisala PTU200	3	Potsdam, Beijing, San Juan	0.15	0.10	0.10
Vaisala PTU300	3	Wuhan, Graz, Grasse	0.15	0.10	0.10
Bosch BMP280	2	Golosiiv, Borowiec	1.00		
Paroscientific Met3A	2	Simeiz, Changchun	0.10	0.10	
Vaisala PTB330	2	Mt Stromlo, Geochang	0.15	0.10	0.10
	2	Apache Point, Komsomolsk-na-Amure			
Davis Instruments Vantage Pro2	1	Kunming	1.00		
Druck DPI 141	1	Herstmonceux	0.15	0.05	0.10
Nippon Electric Instrument RPT-301	1	Tanegashima	0.10	0.10	0.20
Oregon Scientific WMR928N	1	Katzively	1.00		
Ota Keiki Seisakusho Co, LTD OW-7-420	1	Simosato	0.70		
Paroscientific 1016B-01 (appears to be a					
part number and not a model number)	1	Matera	0.10		
SEAC EMA V (can't find on the internet)	1	San Fernando	0.30		
Vaisala (no model number provided)	1	Shanghai	0.10		
Vaisala BAROCAP	1	Riga	0.15	0.10	0.10
Vaisala WXT520	1	Sejong	0.50		
		Legend			
		Total Accuracy >0.15 millibars			
		Not provided in Data Sheet			

 Barometric sensors have accuracy limitations (i.e. accuracy, stability/drift and temperature dependence)

 A second barometer and yearly calibrations to a known standard hopefully can eliminate drifts in our barometric measurements and kept this bias source at the sub-mm level.

ILRS NESC Meeting 20-Jan-2022



WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

# Identification of range and tropospheric biases from SLR observations to LAGEOS

Mateusz Drożdżewski, Krzysztof Sośnica



## Table of content



WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

- Simulated barometer bias
- Estimation of tropospheric biases
- Numerical weather models for SLR stations

## Observation geometry & correlations



In SLR, zenith tropospheric delay (ZTD), station heights, and range biases are correlated.

The correlation is strongest when only few observations are collected at low elevation angles, e.g., for high-orbiting satellites.

Station heights are one of the most important parameters, because the scale of the reference frame, geocenter motion, and many other parameters rely on the station heights.

A wrong tropospheric delay affects the estimation of station heights because of the correlations.

### Artificial pressure bias – a simulation



WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

- We apply 5 hPa pressure bias to all SLR stations
- 5 hPa translates into ~11.4 mm differences in tropospheric zenith delay
- We use a priori value of station coordinates from a standard (STD) solution (without a pressure bias)
- We examine following scenarios using real LAGEOS-1/2 observations for 2010-2019:

Estimated parameters / solution	Range bias (RB)	Troposphere zenith delay (TRP)	Station coordinates (CRD)
NEU			х
RB+NEU	х		х
TRP+NEU		Х	Х
TRP+RGB+NEU	х	Х	Х





WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

#### 5 hPa (11.4 mm of ZTD) causes a systematic error at the level of +17 mm in station heights (red)

When estimating range biases and coordinates (RB+NEU, orange), the mean bias is **-24 mm**.

Estimation of troposphere delay corrections properly reconstructs ~87% of the pressure bias (remaining error of 1.5 mm).

Solutions with estimated troposphere delay correction are more consistent with a priori coordinates derived from standard solution.

When trying to capture the tropospheric bias by estimating a range bias, the resulting value is -40 mm (orange), but the station height is wrong by +17 mm.





#### WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

Estimation of range bias to absorb a barometer bias makes everything worse!

NEU ≈ 17 mm

TRP + NEU ≈ 1.5 mm TRP + RGB + NEU ≈ -2.4 mm



Time series of the Up station component with respect to ITRF2014, for the period 2010 – 2019.

### Troposphere correction - reconstruction



For more results, see: Drożdżewski, M., Sośnica, K. (2021) *Tropospheric and range biases in Satellite Laser Ranging*. Journal of Geodesy 95, 100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-021-01554-0</u>



#### WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

Mean value of estimated troposphere correction ≈12 mm (when estimated) corresponds to artifitial tropospheric bias introduced a priori (11.4 mm).

# This means that the estimation of tropospheric biases from SLR data is possible.

However, co-estimation of station coordinates, range biases, and tropospheric biases leads to strong correlations between estimated parameters.



WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

# Processing of real LAGEOS data (without artificial biases)



## Identification of SLR stations with tropospheric biases - results



Please note that **tropospheric biases cannot be separated from distance-dependent biases** in the estimation process!

### Potential source of tropospheric data for SLR

# ray-tracing data from the VieVS ray-tracer through OPERATIONAL NWM of the ECMWF. # Reference: # J. Boisits, D. Landskron and J. Boehm, VMF30; the Vienna Mapping Functions for optical frequencies # J Geod (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-020-01385-5 # columns: # (1) station name # (2) modified Julian date # (3) hydrostatic mf coefficient a\_h # (4) wet mf coefficient a w # (5) zenith hydrostatic delay (m) # (6) zenith wet delay (m) # (7) pressure at the site (hPa) # (8) temperature at the site (C) # (9) water vapour pressure at the site (hPa) # (10) hydrostatic north gradient Gn h (mm) # (11) hydrostatic east gradient Ge h (mm) # (12) wet north gradient Gn w (mm) # (13) wet east gradient Ge w (mm) 1181 59580.00 0.00123089 0.00044140 2.4279 0.0019 1004.72 11.73 12.61 -0.567 -0.509 0.000 -0.001 1824 59580.00 0.00121898 0.00053376 2.3764 0.0020 983.40 4.70 7.66 -0.552 -0.359 -0.001 0.002 1831 59580.00 0.00121995 0.00063002 2.3516 0.0020 973.18 8.43 8.77 -0.511 -0.293 0.003 -0.001 1863 59580.00 0.00115922 0.00032986 1.7610 0.0006 727.54 -4.22 4.15 -0.233 0.174 0.002 0.001 1864 59580.00 0.00115916 0.00033379 1.7605 0.0006 727.34 -4.23 4.15 -0.233 0.173 0.002 0.001 1868 59580.00 0.00117473 0.00054096 2.3924 0.0002 990.39 -20.73 0.64 -0.167 -0.216 -0.001 0.001 59580.00 0.00119806 0.00051963 2.3457 0.0010 970.89 -2.26 4.75 -0.575 -0.107 -0.002 0.001 1870 59580.00 0.00121770 0.00045928 2.3579 0.0014 975.13 5.22 7.77 -0.186 -0.388 0.001 -0.005 1873 1874 59580.00 0.00119804 0.00052107 2.3455 0.0010 970.81 -2.26 4.75 -0.575 -0.107 -0.002 0.001 1879 59580.00 0.00118452 0.00061173 2.3860 0.0002 987.44 -8.68 0.93 -0.416 0.001 0.001 -0.000 1884 59580.00 0.00120372 0.00040681 2.4173 0.0013 1001.70 3.42 6.96 -0.616 -0.371 0.002 0.002 1885 59580.00 0.00120372 0.00040654 2.4174 0.0013 1001.72 3.42 6.96 -0.616 -0.371 0.002 0.002 1886 59580.00 0.00116850 0.00045088 1.9083 0.0006 789.02 -3.54 3.57 -0.285 0.109 -0.001 -0.001 1887 59580.00 0.00121324 0.00057953 2.4275 0.0005 1004.01 -7.84 3.04 -0.149 -0.036 -0.001 0.001 59580.00 0.00119100 0.00042410 2.3875 0.0007 988.93 -2.32 4.55 -0.559 -0.257 -0.003 -0.000 1888 59580.00 0.00119677 0.00049358 2.1822 0.0010 902.51 2.86 4.52 -0.359 0.082 -0.002 -0.001 1889 59580.00 0.00117027 0.00061291 2.4554 0.0002 1015.62 -10.48 0.63 -0.594 -0.275 0.000 -0.000 1890 59580.00 0.00115367 0.00054793 2.3248 0.0002 962.46 -15.11 0.91 -0.536 -0.228 0.000 0.000 1891 59580.00 0.00122512 0.00049250 2.4445 0.0015 1010.95 7.73 8.15 -0.194 -0.383 0.001 -0.006 1893

# Vienna Mapping Functions 3 optical (VMF3o) including discrete horizontal gradients calculated from

#### VMF Data Server Vienna Mapping Functions Open Access Data

https://vmf.geo.tuwien.ac.at/trop\_products/SLR/VMF3o/

https://vmf.geo.tuwien.ac.at/

Tropospheric parameters for each day and <u>each SLR station</u> generated on a operational basis with 6h-resolution based on numerical weather models

Latency of the operational products: 24h (new data at about 18:00 every day for the previous day)

Predictions for the next day generated at 9:00, however, not publically available.



Difference of pressure records derived from in situ measurements and VMF30 Reference: J. Boisits, D. Landskron and J. Boehm, for VMF30: the Vienna Mapping Functions for optical frequencies. J Geod (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-020-01385-5

## VMF3o pressure records as third independent barometer at SLR stations



Comparison between meteo data from SLR normal points and VMF30







WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

# Thank you for your attention!





Station	TRP [m]	TRP+RB [m]	No obs
1884	0,0154	0,0124	5535
7308	0,0075	0,0062	11768
1824	0,0044	0,0025	4512
7405	0,0026	0,0026	43500
1891	0,0021	0,0017	8799
1879	0,0019	0,0015	15498
7403	0,0019	0,0017	18569
1890	0,0018	0,0012	8228
7827	0,0017	0,0012	7166
7237	0,0016	0,0011	75391
1887	0,0015	0,0015	21518
7839	0,0014	0,0012	71996
1873	0,0013	0,0019	9305
7810	0,0012	0,0009	212380
7841	0,0010	0,0006	52336
7119	0,0009	0,0006	63027
7407	0,0008	0,0012	5251
1889	0,0007	0,0004	9839
7501	0,0004	0,0001	87229
7090	0,0004	-0,0001	324292
1888	0,0003	0,0003	14333
7825	0,0003	0,0004	118951
7249	0,0001	0,0002	12823
7124	0,0000	0,0000	23291
7821	0,0000	0,0000	28282
7941	-0,0001	0,0001	172062
7840	-0,0002	-0,0001	108424
7845	-0,0004	-0,0004	73827
7110	-0,0005	-0,0003	86970
7838	-0,0006	-0,0009	81674
7105	-0,0007	-0,0004	95803
7406	-0,0008	-0,0004	56532
7080	-0,0014	-0,0013	31453
8834	-0,0039	-0,0032	61161
1893	-0,0063	-0,0057	20219
1886	-0.0141	-0.0127	10511